arXiv:1304.6941v1 [math.CA] 25 Apr 2013

Fixed Points for Banach and Kannan Contractions in Modular Spaces with a Graph

Aris Aghanians[1] and Kourosh Nourouzi[2*†]

[1,2]*Department of Mathematics, K. N. Toosi University of Technology,*
P.O. Box 16315-1618, Tehran, Iran

April 26, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for Banach and Kannan $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contractions defined on modular spaces endowed with a graph without using the Δ_2-condition or the Fatou property.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

To control the pathological behavior of a modular in modular spaces some conditions such as the Δ_2-condition and the Fatou property are usually assumed (see, e.g., [1, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13]. For instance, in [1], Banach's fixed point theorem is given in modular spaces having both the Δ_2-condition and the Fatou property. Khamsi [8], also presented some fixed point theorems for quasi-contractions in modular spaces satisfying only the Fatou property.

In 2008 Jachymski [6] established Banach fixed point theorem in metric spaces with a graph and his idea followed by the authors in uniform spaces (see, e.g., [2, 3]).

In this paper motivated by the ideas given in [1, 6], we aim to discuss the fixed points of Banach and Kannan contractions in modular spaces endowed with a graph without Δ_2-condition and Fatou property. We also clarify the independence of these contractions.

We first commence some basic concepts about modular spaces as formulated by Musielak and Orlicz [11]. For more details, the reader is referred to [10].

Definition 1. A real-valued function ρ defined on a real vector space X is called a modular on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

---

[*] Corresponding author

[†] e-mail: nourouzi@kntu.ac.ir; fax: +98 21 22853650

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 46A80, 05C40.

Keywords: Complete modular space; Fixed point; Banach contraction; Kannan contraction.

M1) $\rho(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$;

M2) $\rho(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

M3) $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ for all $x \in X$;

M4) $\rho(ax + by) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = 1$.

If ρ satisfies (M1)-(M4), then the pair (X, ρ), shortly denoted by X, is called a modular space.

The modular ρ is called convex if Condition (M4) is strengthened by replacing with

M4′) $\rho(ax + by) \leq a\rho(x) + b\rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = 1$.

It is easy to obtain the following two immediate consequences of Condition (M4) which we need in the sequel:

- If a and b are real numbers with $|a| \leq |b|$, then $\rho(ax) \leq \rho(bx)$ for all $x \in X$;
- If $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are nonnegative numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1$, then

$$\rho\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i\Big) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(x_i) \qquad (x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X).$$

Definition 2. Let (X, ρ) be a modular space.

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be ρ-convergent to a point $x \in X$, denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x$, if $\rho(x_n - x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be ρ-Cauchy if $\rho(x_m - x_n) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$.
3. The modular space X is called ρ-complete if each ρ-Cauchy sequence in X is ρ-convergent to a point of X.
4. The modular ρ is said to satisfy the Δ_2-condition if $2x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} 0$ as $n \to \infty$ whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
5. The modular ρ is said to have the Fatou property if

$$\rho(x - y) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \rho(x_n - y_n)$$

whenever

$$x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x \quad \text{and} \quad y_n \xrightarrow{\rho} y \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Conditions (M2) and (M4) ensure that each sequence in a modular space can be ρ-convergent to at most one point. In other words, the limit of a ρ-convergent sequence in a modular space is unique.

We next review some notions in graph theory. All of them can be found in, e.g., [4].

Let X be a modular space. Consider a directed graph G with $V(G) = X$ and $E(G) \supseteq \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$, i.e., $E(G)$ contains all loops. Suppose further that G has no parallel edges. With these assumptions, we may denote G by the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. In this way, the modular space X is endowed with the graph G. The notation $\widetilde{G}$ is used to denote the undirected graph obtained from G by deleting the directions of the edges of G. Thus,

$$V(G) = X \qquad E(G) = \big\{(x, y) \in X \times X : (x, y) \in E(G) \ \vee \ (y, x) \in E(G)\big\}.$$

By a path in G from a vertex x to a vertex y, it is meant a finite sequence $(x_s)_{s=0}^{N}$ of vertices of G such that $x_0 = x$, $x_N = y$, and $(x_{s-1}, x_s) \in E(G)$ for $s = 1, \ldots, N$. A graph G is called weakly connected if there exists a path in $\widetilde{G}$ between each two vertices of G, i.e., there exists an undirected path in G between its each two vertices.

2 Main Results

Let X be a modular space endowed with a graph G and $f : X \to X$ be any mapping. The set of all fixed points for f is denoted by $\mathrm{Fix}(f)$, and by C_f, we mean the set of all elements x of X such that $(f^n x, f^m x) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for $m, n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

We begin with introducing Banach and Kannan G-ρ-contractions.

Definition 3. Let X be a modular space with a graph G and $f : X \to X$ be a mapping. We call f a Banach G-ρ-contraction if

B1) f preserves the edges of G, i.e., $(x, y) \in E(G)$ implies $(fx, fy) \in E(G)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

B2) there exist positive numbers k, a and b with $k < 1$ and $a < b$ such that

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a(x - y)\big)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$.

The numbers k, a and b are called the constants of f. And we call f a Kannan G-ρ-contraction (see [7, 14]) if

K1) f preserves the edges of G;

K2) there exist positive numbers k, l, a_1, a_2 and b with $k + l < 1$, $a_1 \leq \frac{b}{2}$ and $a_2 \leq b$ such that

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy - y)\big)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$.

The numbers k, l, a_1, a_2 and b are called the constants of f.

It might be valuable if we discuss these contractions a little. Our first proposition follows immediately from Condition (M3) and Definition 3.

Proposition 1. *Let X be a modular space with a graph G. If a mapping from X into itself satisfies* (B1) *(respectively,* (B2)*) for G, then it satisfies* (B1) *(respectively,* (B2)*) for $\widetilde{G}$. In particular, a Banach G-ρ-contraction is also a Banach $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contraction. Similar statements are true for Kannan G-ρ-contractions provided that $a_2 \leq \frac{b}{2}$.*

We also have the following remark about Kannan G-ρ-contractions.

Remark 1. For a Kannan $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contraction $f : X \to X$, we can interchange the roles of x and y in (K2) since $E(\widetilde{G})$ is symmetric. Having done this, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) &= \rho\big(b(fy - fx)\big)\\ &\le k\rho\big(a_1(fy - y)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fx - x)\big)\\ &= l\rho\big(a_2(fx - x)\big) + k\rho\big(a_1(fy - y)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, no matter $a_1 \le \frac{b}{2}$ or $a_2 \le \frac{b}{2}$ whenever we are faced with Kannan $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contractions. Nevertheless, both a_1 and a_2 must be no more than b.

We now give some examples.

Example 1. Let X be a modular space with any arbitrary graph G. Since $E(G)$ contains all loops, each constant mapping $f : X \to X$ is both a Banach and a Kannan G-ρ-contraction. In fact, $E(G)$ should contain all loops if we want any constant mapping to be either a Banach or a Kannan G-ρ-contraction.

Example 2. Let X be a modular space and G_0 be the complete graph $(X, X \times X)$. Then Banach (Kannan) G_0-ρ-contractions are precisely the Banach (Kannan) contractions in modular spaces.

Example 3. Let $\preceq$ be a partial order on a modular space X and consider a poset graph G_1 by $V(G_1) = X$ and $E(G_1) = \big\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y\big\}$. Then Banach G_1-ρ-contractions are precisely the nondecreasing ordered ρ-contractions. A similar statement is true for Kannan G_1-ρ-contractions.

Finally, we show that Banach and Kannan G-ρ-contractions are independent of each other. More precisely, we construct two mappings on $\mathbb{R}$ such that one of them satisfies (B2) but not (K2), and the other, (K2) but not (B2) for the complete graph G_0.

Example 4. Let ρ be the usual Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\rho(x) = |x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define a mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $fx = \frac{x}{3}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then f is a Banach G_0-ρ-contraction with the constants $k = \frac{2}{3}$, $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b = 1$. Indeed, given any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) = \frac{1}{3}|x - y| = k\rho\big(a(x - y)\big).$$

On the other hand, if k, l, a_1, a_2 and b are any arbitrary positive numbers satisfying $k + l < 1$, $a_1 \le \frac{b}{2}$ and $a_2 \le b$, then for $y = 0$ and any $x \ne 0$ we see that

$$\rho\big(b(fx - f0)\big) = \frac{b|x|}{3} > \frac{2a_1 k|x|}{3} = k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(f0 - 0)\big).$$

Therefore, (K2) fails to hold and f is not a Kannan G_0-ρ-contraction.

Example 5. It is easy to verify that the function $\rho(x) = x^2$ defines a modular on $\mathbb{R}$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$ is ρ-complete because $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ is a Banach space. Now, consider a mapping $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $fx = \frac{1}{2}$ if $x \ne 1$ and $f1 = \frac{1}{10}$. Then f is a G_0-ρ-Kannan contraction with the constants $k = \frac{64}{81}$, $l = \frac{16}{81}$, $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_2 = b = 1$. Indeed, given any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following three possible cases:

Case 1: If $x = y = 1$ or $x, y \neq 1$, then (K2) holds trivially since $fx = fy$;

Case 2: If $x = 1$ and $y \neq 1$, then

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) = \frac{4}{25} \leq \frac{4}{25} + \frac{16}{81}\Big(\frac{1}{2} - y\Big)^2 = k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy - y)\big);$$

Case 3: Finally, if $x \neq 1$ and $y = 1$, then

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) = \frac{4}{25} \leq \frac{16}{81}\Big(\frac{1}{2} - x\Big)^2 + \frac{4}{25} = k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy - y)\big).$$

Note that $k + l = \frac{80}{81} < 1$, $a_1 \leq \frac{b}{2}$ and $a_2 \leq b$. But f is not a Banach G_0-ρ-contraction; for if k, a and b are any arbitrary positive numbers satisfying $k < 1$ and $a < b$, then putting $x = 1$ and $y = \frac{3}{5}$ yields

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) = \frac{4b^2}{25} > \frac{4a^2k}{25} = k\rho\big(a(x - y)\big).$$

Now we are going to prove our fixed point results. The first one is about the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for Banach $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contractions.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a ρ-complete modular space endowed with a graph G and the triple (X, ρ, G) have the following property:*

(∗) *If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\beta x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} \beta x$ for some $\beta > 0$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 1$, then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $(x_{n_i}, x) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Then a Banach $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contraction $f : X \to X$ has a fixed point if and only if $C_f \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, this fixed point is unique if G is weakly connected.

Proof. (⇒) It is trivial since $\mathrm{Fix}(f) \subseteq C_f$.

(⇐) Let k, a and b be the constants of f and let $\alpha > 1$ be the exponential conjugate of $\frac{b}{a}$, i.e., $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{\alpha} = 1$. Choose an $x \in C_f$ and keep it fixed. We are going to show that the sequence $\{bf^n x\}$ is ρ-Cauchy in X. To this end, note first if n is a positive integer, then by (B2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\big(a(f^n x - x)\big) &= \rho\big(a(f^n x - fx) + a(fx - x)\big) \\
&= \rho\Big(\frac{a}{b}b\big(f^n x - fx\big) + \frac{1}{\alpha}\alpha a\big(fx - x\big)\Big) \\
&\leq \rho\big(b(f^n x - fx)\big) + \rho\big(\alpha a(fx - x)\big) \\
&\leq k\rho\big(a(f^{n-1}x - x)\big) + r,
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = \rho(\alpha a(fx - x))$. Hence using the mathematical induction, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\big(a(f^n x - x)\big) &\leq k\rho\big(a(f^{n-1}x - x)\big) + r \\
&\leq k\Big[k\rho\big(a(f^{n-2}x - x)\big) + r\Big] + r \\
&= k^2\rho\big(a(f^{n-2}x - x)\big) + kr + r
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vdots\\
&\le k^{n-1}\rho\big(a(fx-x)\big)+k^{n-2}r+\cdots+r
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \ge 1$. Since $\alpha > 1$, it follows that $\rho(a(fx-x)) \le \rho(\alpha a(fx-x)) = r$ and therefore,

$$\rho\big(a(f^n x - x)\big) \le k^{n-1}r+\cdots+r = \frac{(1-k^n)r}{1-k} \le \frac{r}{1-k} \qquad n=1,2,\dots. \tag{1}$$

Now using (B2) once more, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\big(b(f^m x - f^n x)\big) &\le k\rho\big(a(f^{m-1}x - f^{n-1}x)\big)\\
&\le k\rho\big(b(f^{m-1}x - f^{n-1}x)\big)\\
&\ \vdots\\
&\le k^n\rho\big(a(f^{m-n}x - x)\big) \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

for all m and n with $m > n \ge 1$. Consequently, by combining (1) and (2), it is seen that for all $m > n \ge 1$ we have

$$\rho\big(b(f^m x - f^n x)\big) \le k^n\rho\big(a(f^{m-n}x - x)\big) \le \frac{k^n r}{1-k}.$$

Therefore, $\rho(b(f^m x - f^n x)) \to 0$ as $m,n \to \infty$, and so $\{bf^n x\}$ is a ρ-Cauchy sequence in X and because X is ρ-complete, it is ρ-convergent. On the other hand, X is a real vector space and $b > 0$. Thus, there exists an $x^* \in X$ such that $bf^n x \xrightarrow{\rho} bx^*$.

We next show that x^* is a fixed point for f. Since $x \in C_f$, it follows that $(f^n x, f^{n+1}x) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \ge 0$, and so by Property $(*)$, there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers such that $(f^{n_i}x, x^*) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $i \ge 1$. Hence using (B2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(fx^* - x^*\big)\Big) &= \rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(fx^* - f^{n_i+1}x\big) + \frac{b}{2}\big(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*\big)\Big)\\
&\le \rho\big(b(fx^* - f^{n_i+1}x)\big) + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big)\\
&= \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - fx^*)\big) + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big)\\
&\le k\rho\big(a(f^{n_i}x - x^*)\big) + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big)\\
&\le k\rho\big(b(f^{n_i}x - x^*)\big) + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $i \to \infty$. So $\rho(\frac{b}{2}(fx^* - x^*)) = 0$, and since $b > 0$, it follows that $fx^* - x^* = 0$ or equivalently, $fx^* = x^*$, i.e., x^* is a fixed point for f.

Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, suppose that G is weakly connected and $y^* \in X$ is a fixed point for f. Then there exists a path $(x_s)_{s=0}^N$ in $\widetilde{G}$ from x^* to y^*, i.e., $x_0 = x^*$, $x_N = y^*$, and $(x_{s-1}, x_s) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for $s = 1,\dots,N$. Thus, by (B1), we have

$$(f^n x_{s-1}, f^n x_s) \in E(\widetilde{G}) \qquad (n \ge 0 \text{ and } s = 1,\dots,N).$$

And using (B2) and the mathematical induction we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\Big(\frac{b}{N}(x^* - y^*)\Big) &= \rho\Big(\frac{b}{N}(x^* - f^n x_1) + \cdots + \frac{b}{N}(f^n x_{N-1} - y^*)\Big) \\
&\leq \rho\big(b(x^* - f^n x_1)\big) + \cdots + \big(b(f^n x_{N-1} - y^*)\big) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{N} \rho\big(b(f^n x_{s-1} - f^n x_s)\big) \\
&\leq k \sum_{s=1}^{N} \rho\big(a(f^{n-1} x_{s-1} - f^{n-1} x_s)\big) \\
&\leq k \sum_{s=1}^{N} \rho\big(b(f^{n-1} x_{s-1} - f^{n-1} x_s)\big) \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq k^n \sum_{s=1}^{N} \rho\big(b(x_{s-1} - x_s)\big) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. So $\frac{b}{N}(x^* - y^*) = 0$, and since $b > 0$, it follows that $x^* = y^*$. Consequently, the fixed point of f is unique. □

Setting $G = G_0$ and $G = G_1$, we get the following consequences of Theorem 1 in modular and partially ordered modular spaces, respectively.

Corollary 1. *Let X be a ρ-complete modular space and a mapping $f : X \to X$ satisfies*

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a(x - y)\big) \qquad (x, y \in X),$$

where $0 < k < 1$ and $0 < a < b$. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ and $bf^n x \xrightarrow{\rho} bx^*$ for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 2. *Let $\preceq$ be a partial order on a ρ-complete modular space X such that the triple $(X, \rho, \preceq)$ has the following property:*

(∗∗) *If $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $\beta x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} \beta x$ for some $\beta > 0$, then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \preceq x$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Assume that a nondecreasing mapping $f : X \to X$ satisfies

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a(x - y)\big) \qquad (x, y \in X \text{ and } x \preceq y),$$

where $0 < k < 1$ and $0 < a < b$. Then f has a fixed point if and only if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $T^n x$ is comparable to $T^m x$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. Moreover, this fixed point is unique if the following condition holds:

> *For all $x, y \in X$, there exists a finite sequence $(x_s)_{s=0}^{N}$ in X with comparable successive terms such that $x_0 = x$ and $x_N = y$.*

Corollary 3. *Let (X,ρ) be a ρ-complete modular space endowed with a graph G, where ρ is a convex modular, and the triple (X,ρ,G) have Property $(*)$. Assume that $f: X \to X$ is a mapping which preserves the edges of $\widetilde{G}$ and satisfies*

$$\rho\big(b(fx-fy)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a(x-y)\big) \qquad \big(x,y \in X \text{ and } (x,y) \in E(\widetilde{G})\big),$$

where k, a and b are positive numbers with $b > \max\{a, ak\}$. Then f has a fixed point if and only if $C_f \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, this fixed point is unique if G is weakly connected.

Proof. Set $c = \max\{a, ak\}$ and choose any $a_0 \in (c,b)$. Then by the hypothesis and convexity of ρ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\big(b(fx-fy)\big) &\leq k(\rho\big(a(x-y)\big)\\ &= k\rho\Big(\frac{a}{a_0}a_0(x-y) + \big(1-\frac{a}{a_0}\big)0\Big)\\ &\leq \frac{ak}{a_0}\rho\big(a_0(x-y)\big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x,y \in X$ with $(x,y) \in E(\widetilde{G})$. Since $a_0 < b$, and $\frac{ak}{a_0} < 1$, it follows that f satisfies (B2) for the graph $\widetilde{G}$ with the constants k and a replaced with $\frac{ak}{a_0}$ and a_0, respectively, and b kept fixed. Since f preserves the edges of $\widetilde{G}$, it follows that f is a Banach $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contraction and the results are concluded immediately from Theorem 1. □

Our next result is about the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for Kannan $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contractions.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a ρ-complete modular space endowed with a graph G and the triple (X,ρ,G) have Property $(*)$. Then a Kannan $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contraction $f: X \to X$ has a fixed point if and only if $C_f \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, this fixed point is unique if $k < \frac{1}{2}$ and X satisfies the following condition:*

$(\star)$ *For all $x,y \in X$, there exists a $z \in X$ such that $(x,z),(y,z) \in E(\widetilde{G})$.*

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ It is trivial since $\mathrm{Fix}(f) \subseteq C_f$.

$(\Leftarrow)$ Let k, l, a_1, a_2 and b be the constants of f. Choose an $x \in C_f$ and keep it fixed. We are going to show that the sequence $\{bf^n x\}$ is ρ-Cauchy in X. Given any integer $n \geq 2$, by (K2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\big(b(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big) &\leq k\rho\big(a_1(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(f^{n-1}x - f^{n-2}x)\big)\\ &\leq k\rho\big(b(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big) + l\rho\big(b(f^{n-1}x - f^{n-2}x)\big),\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\rho\big(b(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big) \leq \delta\rho\big(b(f^{n-1}x - f^{n-2}x)\big),$$

where $\delta = \frac{l}{1-k} \in (0,1)$. Hence using the mathematical induction, we get

$$\rho\big(b(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big) \leq \delta^n\rho\big(b(fx-x)\big) \qquad n = 1,2,\ldots.$$

Now using (K2) once more, we find

$$\rho\big(b(f^m x - f^n x)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a_1(f^m x - f^{m-1}x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq k\rho\big(b(f^m x - f^{m-1}x)\big) + l\rho\big(b(f^n x - f^{n-1}x)\big) \\ &\leq k\delta^m \rho\big(b(fx - x)\big) + l\delta^n \rho\big(b(fx - x)\big) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \geq 1$. Therefore, $\rho(b(f^m x - f^n x)) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$, and so $\{bf^n x\}$ is a ρ-Cauchy sequence in X and because X is ρ-complete, it is ρ-convergent. Thus, there exists an $x^* \in X$ such that $bf^n x \xrightarrow{\rho} bx^*$.

We next show that x^* is a fixed point for f. Since $x \in C_f$, it follows that $(f^n x, f^{n+1}x) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 0$, and so by Property $(*)$, there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers such that $(f^{n_i}x, x^*) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence using (K2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(fx^* - x^*\big)\Big) &= \rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(fx^* - f^{n_i+1}x\big) + \frac{b}{2}\big(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*\big)\Big) \\ &\leq \rho\big(b(fx^* - f^{n_i+1}x)\big) + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big) \\ &\leq \Big[k\rho\big(a_1(fx^* - x^*)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(f^{n_i+1}x - f^{n_i}x)\big)\Big] + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big) \\ &\leq k\rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(fx^* - x^*\big)\Big) + l\rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - f^{n_i}x)\big) + \rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big) \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Hence

$$\rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(fx^* - x^*\big)\Big) \leq \delta\rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - f^{n_i}x)\big) + \frac{1}{1-k}\rho\big(b(f^{n_i+1}x - x^*)\big) \to 0$$

as $i \to \infty$. So $\rho(\frac{b}{2}(fx^* - x^*)) = 0$, and since $b > 0$, it follows that $fx^* - x^* = 0$ or equivalently, $fx^* = x^*$, i.e., x^* is a fixed point for f.

Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, suppose that Condition $(\star)$ holds and $y^* \in X$ is a fixed point for f. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1: (x^*, y^*) is an edge of $\widetilde{G}$.
In this case, using (K2), we find

$$\rho\big(b(x^* - y^*)\big) = \rho\big(b(fx^* - fy^*)\big) \leq k\rho\big(a_1(fx^* - x^*)\big) + l\rho\big(b(fy^* - y^*)\big) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\rho(b(x^* - y^*)) = 0$, and so $x^* = y^*$ because $b > 0$.

Case 2: (x^*, y^*) is not an edge of $\widetilde{G}$.
In this case, by Condition $(\star)$, there exists a $z \in X$ such that both (x^*, z) and (y^*, z) are edges of $\widetilde{G}$. So by (K1), we have $(x^*, f^n z), (y^*, f^n z) \in E(\widetilde{G})$ for all $n \geq 0$ since x^* is a fixed point for f. Therefore, by (K2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\big(b(f^n z - x^*)\big) &= \rho\big(b(f^n z - f^n x^*)\big) \\ &\leq k\rho\big(a_1(f^n z - f^{n-1}z)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(f^n x^* - f^{n-1}x^*)\big) \\ &\leq k\rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(f^n z - f^{n-1}z\big)\Big) \\ &= k\rho\Big(\frac{b}{2}\big(f^n z - f^n x^*\big) + \frac{b}{2}\big(f^{n-1}x^* - f^{n-1}z\big)\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le k\rho\big(b(f^n z - f^n x^*)\big) + k\rho\big(b(f^{n-1}x^* - f^{n-1}z)\big)\\ &= k\rho\big(b(f^n z - x^*)\big) + k\rho\big(b(f^{n-1}z - x^*)\big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \ge 1$, which yields

$$\rho\big(b(f^n z - x^*)\big) \le \lambda\rho\big(b(f^{n-1}z - x^*)\big),$$

where $\lambda = \frac{k}{1-k} \in (0,1)$ because $k < \frac{1}{2}$. So by the mathematical induction, we get

$$\rho\big(b(f^n z - x^*)\big) \le \lambda^n \rho\big(b(z - x^*)\big) \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots .$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, it follows that $bf^n z \xrightarrow{\rho} bx^*$. Similarly, one can show that $bf^n z \xrightarrow{\rho} by^*$, and so $bx^* = by^*$ because the limit of a ρ-convergent sequence in a modular space is unique. Thus, from $b > 0$, it follows that $x^* = y^*$.

Consequently, the fixed point of f is unique. □

Setting $G = G_0$ and $G = G_1$ once again, we get the following consequences of Theorem 2 in modular and partially ordered modular spaces, respectively.

Corollary 4. *Let X be a ρ-complete modular space and a mapping $f : X \to X$ satisfies*

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \le k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy - y)\big) \qquad (x, y \in X),$$

where k, l, a_1, a_2 and b are positive with $k + l < 1$, $a_1 \le \frac{b}{2}$ and $a_2 \le b$. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ and $bf^n x \xrightarrow{\rho} bx^*$ for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 5. *Let $\preceq$ be a partial order on a ρ-complete modular space X such that the triple $(X, \rho, \preceq)$ has Property $(**)$. Assume that a nondecreasing mapping $f : X \to X$ satisfies*

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \le k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy - y)\big) \qquad (x, y \in X,\ \textit{and either } x \preceq y \textit{ or } y \preceq x),$$

where k, l, a_1, a_2 and b are positive with $k + l < 1$, $a_1 \le \frac{b}{2}$ and $a_2 \le b$. Then f has a fixed point if and only if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $T^n x$ is comparable to $T^m x$ for all $m, n \ge 0$. Moreover, this fixed point is unique if $k < \frac{1}{2}$ and each pair of elements of X has either an upper or a lower bound.

As another consequence of Theorem 2, we have the convex version of it as follows:

Corollary 6. *Let (X, ρ) be a ρ-complete modular space endowed with a graph G, where ρ is a convex modular, and the triple (X, ρ, G) have Property $(*)$. Assume that $f : X \to X$ is a mapping which preserves the edges of $\widetilde{G}$ and satisfies*

$$\rho\big(b(fx - fy)\big) \le k\rho\big(a_1(fx - x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy - y)\big) \qquad \big(x, y \in X \textit{ and } (x, y) \in E(\widetilde{G})\big),$$

where k, l, a_1, a_2 and b are positive numbers with $b > 4\max\{a_1, a_2, a_1 k, a_2 l\}$. Then f has a fixed point if and only if $C_f \ne \emptyset$. Moreover, this fixed point is unique if X satisfies Condition $(\star)$.

Proof. Set $c = 2\max\{a_1, a_2, a_1k, a_2l\}$ and choose any $a_0 \in (c, \frac{b}{2}]$. Then by the hypothesis and convexity of ρ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\big(b(fx-fy)\big) &\le k\rho\big(a_1(fx-x)\big) + l\rho\big(a_2(fy-y)\big)\\ &= k\rho\Big(\frac{a_1}{a_0}a_0(fx-x) + \big(1-\frac{a_1}{a_0}\big)0\Big) + l\rho\Big(\frac{a_2}{a_0}a_0(fy-y) + \big(1-\frac{a_2}{a_0}\big)0\Big)\\ &\le \frac{a_1k}{a_0}\rho\big(a_0(fx-x)\big) + \frac{a_2l}{a_0}\rho\big(a_0(fy-y)\big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x,y) \in E(\widetilde{G})$. Since $a_0 \le \frac{b}{2} < b$, and $\frac{a_1k}{a_0} + \frac{a_2l}{a_0} < 1$, it follows that f satisfies (K2) for the graph $\widetilde{G}$ with the constants k, l, a_1 and a_2 replaced with $\frac{a_1k}{a_0}$, $\frac{a_2l}{a_0}$, a_0 and a_0, respectively, and b kept fixed. Since f preserves the edges of $\widetilde{G}$, it follows that f is a Kannan $\widetilde{G}$-ρ-contraction and the first assertion is concluded immediately from Theorem 2.

On the other hand, since $a_0 > c \ge 2a_1k$, it follows that $\frac{a_1k}{a_0} < \frac{1}{2}$, and because X satisfies Condition $(\star)$, Theorem 2 guarantees the uniqueness of the fixed point of f. ☐

References

[1] A. Ait Taleb, E. Hanebaly, *A fixed point theorem and its application to integral equations in modular function spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 2, 419–426.

[2] A. Aghanians, K. Fallahi, K. Nourouzi, *An entourage approach to the contraction principle in uniform spaces endowed with a graph*, Panamer. Math. J. **23** (2013), no. 2, 87–102.

[3] A. Aghanians, K. Fallahi, K. Nourouzi, *Fixed points for G-contractions on uniform spaces endowed with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**:182, 12 pages.

[4] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory with applications*, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1976.

[5] K. Fallahi, K. Nourouzi, *Probabilistic modular spaces and linear operators*, Acta Appl. Math. **105** (2009), no. 2, 123–140.

[6] J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 4, 1359-1373.

[7] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **60** (1968) 71–76.

[8] M. A. Khamsi, *Quasicontraction mappings in modular spaces without Δ_2-condition*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2008**, Art ID. 916187, 6 pages.

[9] F. Lael, K. Nourouzi, *Fixed points of mappings defined on probabilistic modular spaces*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **4** (2012), no. 3, 23–28.

[10] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[11] J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, *On modular spaces*, Studia Math. **18** (1959) 49–65.

[12] K. Nourouzi, S. Shabanian, *Operators defined on n-modular spaces*, Mediterr. J. Math. **6** (2009), no. 4, 431–446.

[13] A. Razani, E. Nabizadeh, M. Beyg Mohamadi, S. Homaei Pour, *Fixed points of nonlinear and asymptotic contractions in the modular space*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2007**, Art. ID 40575, 10 pages.

[14] B. E. Rhoades, *A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **226** (1977) 257–290.